

ISSN: 2693 6356 2021 | Vol 4 | Issue 5

# Prevalence of Bovine Mastitis and Assessment of RiskFactors in and Around Wolayta Sodo, Ethiopia

Dr. Sachin Dangal Patil Associate Professor

Homoeopathic Medical College & Hospital, Jalgaon

Abstract: The purpose of this cross-sectional study was to estimate the prevalence of mastitis and to assess the associated risk factors in dairy breeds (jersey, indigenous zebu, and high grade Holstein) in the Woliata Soddo area and surrounding areas from November 2013 to May 2014 at the Soddo regional veterinary laboratory. A clinical examination and Californian Mastitis Test (CMT) were conducted on 386 nursing cows, including 216 indigenous zebu, 88 jersey, and 82 high grade Holsteins. The patients who tested positive for both clinical and subclinical mastitis were then tested for bacteria. Among the 134 animals tested, 34.7% tested positive for clinical mastitis and 61.19 percent tested positive for subclinical mastitis. A total of 21.94 percent of the 966 quarters tested positive for mastitis. Mastitis was much more common in high grade Holstein cows (54.8%), jersey cows (44.3%), and indigenous zebus (23.1%) compared to other breeds (P< 0.000). Regarding quarters, however, no discernible variation existed between breeds. Mastitis was most common between 1 and 120 days into early lactation (43.8%), between 120 and 240 days into mid-lactation (32.8%), and beyond 240 days into end-lactation (23.8%). Additionally, the difference between lactation was statistically significant (P<0.000). There was a positive correlation between parity and mastitis prevalence (r=0.8), with the greatest incidence occurring at lactation stage 6 and thereafter. Microbiologic analysis was performed on 134 udder quarter samples; 111 (82.8%) were found to be culturally positive, whereas 23 (17.2%) were found to be negative. Out of the 124 bacteria that were recovered in positive samples, 43.54% were Staphylococcus, 28.89% were Streptococcus, and 19.35% were Coliform. Findings informed calls for further education of dairy cow owners and calls for additional research into financial losses.

**Keywords:** Woliata Soddo, Bovine Mastitis, Prevalence, CMT, Bacteriological Examination, Risk Factors

#### 1. Introduction

Injurious substances such as pathogenic microbes, trauma, and chemical irritants may cause mammary gland inflammation, which is known as masttitis. Mastitis in dairy cows is always caused by microorganisms, most often bacteria but sometimes yeast, mycoplasma, and, on rare occasions, algae. Staphylococci, Streptococci, and a few other gram-negative bacteria are the most common infecting microorganisms. The teat end may get infected with infectious bacteria that are found in the environment, such as coliforms and some streptococci species [1].

Although moderate or severe clinical manifestations are possible, masttitis is often subclinical in character. Host, agent, and environmental factors all play intricate roles in illness etiology and progression [1, 2]. Economically significant decreases in output and productivity are caused by masttitis. Mastitis milk may cause significant financial losses because of

the risks associated with treating the infection, throwing out antibiotic-treated milk, decreasing the market value of milk, and even mortality from inflammations [1, 3].

Food poisoning, disruption of production processes, and the transmission of zoonotic diseases including TB, brucellosis, and streptococcal sore throat are all possible outcomes of milk produced by mastitic animals. Also, the public health issue of antibiotic residue infections and mastitis-treated

animals' milk is a problem [1]. In Ethiopia, the limited information available [8] indicates that bacteria mastitis is one of the major facing all dairy farms in the Country. Moreover, Mohammed [9] in his study on the bacterial causes of bovine mastitis in Zebu, Holstein dairy caws of Wondogenet all of southern Ethiopia found a quarter infection rate of 16% by potentially pathogenic bacteria however, economic losses due to mastitis in Ethiopia are not

known. According to [10] in their assessment of 10 state dairy caws more than 10% of caws in most farms in Ethiopia have at least one blind quarter. In addition, [11] in their assessment of 10 state dairy farms near Addis Ababa point out that mastitis accounts for major economic losses. In general, regarding the occurrence and distribution of major causative agents of the disease, in Ethiopia in general, and in southern regions in particular, is inadequate, moreover, not enough investigations have been carried out to ascertain the effects of different risk factors on the prevalence of mastitis. Therefore, by considering all the above information this study set out the following objectives: to estimate the prevalence of bovine mastitis in Woliata Soddo; to isolate and identify major potentially pathogenic bacteria that cause bovine mastitis and to recommend the appropriate control and prevention methods that are applicable in the study areas.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Study Area

2.2. From November 2013 to May 2014, researchers at the regional veterinary laboratory in Woliata Soddo town conducted the study. Situated in the SNNPR, about 383 kilometers from Addis Ababa, lies the town of Woliata Soddo. Damot gale woreda forms the northern boundary, Humbo Woreda the southern one, Damotwoide the eastern one, and Damotsore the western one. It gets 100-1200 mm of rain each year and 25-35°C in temperature, and its height spans from 1650-2980 m.a.s.1. The Woliata Soddo zone is located in a woinadega (mid altitude) region with an elevation below 1600 meters. The animal population in this area includes around 128,919 cattle, 29,191 sheep, 4,606 horses, and 55,272 chickens [12]. Study Population and Their Management System

Here, we studied indigenous zebus from around Sodo, jersey cows from Sodo dairy farm, and high grades Holstein Frisian from the dairy farm in the sodo area and in the Sodo town. Indigenous zebu animals in peasant farm are reared mainly for meat, milk production, and the generation of cash income and the provision of draft power. They are not supplemented except during dry season when farmers offer their animals straw of various crops and pasture grasses in a cut, and carry form. They usually

graze on communal pastures where transmission of contagious disease is facilitated. The dairy farm at Soddo had relatively improved management system; jersey cows were housed in a free stall in which each animal was tide by chain. Pregnant cow were transferred to a maternity house a few days before parturition, generally without any preparation. Calves aging up to 6 months were penned separately. Cows were allowed to graze on native or improved pastures or both growth on the faced grazing land of the farm and watered with hay or concentrates mainly during the dry season. Generally, problem associated with inadequate nutrition were uncommon. milking conducted twice a day

# 2.3. Study Methodology

### 2.3.1. Study Design

A cross sectional study design was conducted from November 2011 to May 2012.

# 2.3.2. Sample Size Determination and Sampling Technique

A total of 386 cows, of which 216 indigenous zebu, 88 jerseys and 82 high grade Holstein Friesian were sampled using the formula on [13]. The cattle were grouped into lactating cow (in milk), breed as indigenous zebu, jersey and high grade Holstein Friesian, lactation stage into early lactation (1-120 days) mid lactation (121-240 days) late lactation (above 240 days) and parity number as 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th 5th, 6th and above 6. Milking sample was collected hygienically after each quarter was washed with clean water and soap and then cleaned with a swap dipped in 70% alcohol while extruding the external sphincter by pressure to ensure that dirt and wax were removed from the orifice. Ten ml of milk were collected in a test tube and in oblique manner contamination of samples by falling particles.

#### 2.4. Data Collection

During the sampling of animals for the present study, the breed, parity number, status of mammary gland, stage of lactation or lactation period were noted, and so were clinical findings (e.g., palpable and visible abnormalities of the udder, nature and appearance of milk secretion).

Questionnaire: A preliminary survey was conducted in the specified study areas and adjoining localities during initial period of the

study. The questionnaire targeted mainly dairy farms and livestock owners; it was aimed at generating basic information on livestock management system, nutrition, hygienic practices, disease detection, housing, prevention and control measures.

Diagnosis of mastitis: Although mastitis in an individual cow may be obvious, it is more often a sub clinical case or disease and clinical. Therefore tests for the detection of changes in the udder or the milk caused by mastitis are necessary. In this study criteria for the detection of mastitis included: 1) Physical examination of udder quarter for signs of inflammation by a

blood agar plates containing 7% of sheep blood, Maconkey agar plates or Edward's agar plates. Inoculation of plates performed with a 0.01 ml wire loop. The original milk samples were also incubated at the same temperature and cultured similarly 24 hours after bacterial growth was identified and recorded, at 24 and 48 hours of incubation.

At the end of this period, identification of isolated bacterial was made on the basis of morphology, color and size of the colonies, presence or absence of hemolysis and gram stain. The identification of bacterial isolates up to the species level was made by sub culturing a single pure colony and conducting the following tests:

Staphylococcus species and micrococci were identified and differentiated by gram staning, colony morphology, catalase test, tube coagulase test using human plasma, ability to produce hemolysis, ability to ferment maltose, and manitol.

Streptococcus species were identified and differentiated by colony morphology catalase test, growth characteristic on Edward's media, ability to produce hemolysis CAMP test.

Coliform species were identified and differentiated by colony morphology on the Maconkey agar; gram staining, motility test, indole test, triple sugar iron agar for the detection of lactose and glucose fermentation and hydrogen gas production.

#### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

The data collected was filled into microsoft excel and then analyzed with Chi-square and P-value using SPSS vergin 20.

# 3. Result

thorough clinical inspection and palpation; and 2) Detection of high level of leukocytes in milk using the Californian mastitis test (CMT).

Microbiological procedure: All positive samples were analyzed microbiologically by CMT and clinical examination according to [14]. Samples from individual quarter where centrifuged at 3000 revolution for 15 minutes and supernatant was discarded. Standard loop full of 0.01ml of milk was then removed from the sediment and cultured on

# 3.1. Questionnaire

3.2. In the farms included in this study no strip cup was used and dry therapy and post milk disinfection were not practiced. Clinically detected cases of mastitis have been treated by parental injection of locally available drug, most of the time by pencilline, streptomycin, and oxytetracyclines. Animal at the Soddo dairy farms and in farmers hand had high tick infestation, despite regular treatment with steladone. As regards nutrition, almost all dairy cows, especially those in peasant's farms, were kept on dry roughage except during rainy season when green feeds are available. Type of housing ranged from open kraals to free stalls in which cow were tied with chains.

# 3.3. Clinical Examination

Of the total 386 cows examined, 134 (34.7%) were found to be positive for mastitis: 52 (38.8%) clinical and 82 (61.1%) sub clinical mastitis. Out of 966 quarters, 212 (21.94%) were found to be affected: 83 (39.15%) clinically and 139 (65.56%) sub clinically affected. In the clinical cases, 12 blood tinged milk with sign of inflammation in the affected quarter, 20 had watery without sign of inflammation in the quarters, 13 milk contained pus with swollen quarter mostly. Seven animals hadacute mastitis with signs of inflammation in the quarter and severe inflammation. Among all animal examined, 105 blind quarters were found and those that had an indurate mass due to fibrosis were common in most cows.

# 3.4. Prevalence of Mastitis

Clinical examination and screening test results indicate an overall prevalence rate of 34.7%. The prevalence rate differed

significantly among breeds (p<0.05).

Table 1. Prevalence of mastitis by breed in and around Wolaita Soddo.

| Breed               | No of animal examined | No. of affected | No. of not affected | prevalence |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------|
| Indigenous zebu     | 216                   | 50              | 166                 | 23.1%      |
| Jersey              | 88                    | 39              | 49                  | 44.3%      |
| High-grade Holstein | 82                    | 45              | 37                  | 54.8%      |
| Total               | 386                   | 134             | 252                 | 34.7%      |

 $X^2 = 31.04$ ; P = 0.000

Quarter prevalence of mastitis is found to be 25% in high grade Holstien and 20.82% in indigenous zebu with no significant difference among quarters of the three breeds.

Table 2. Quarter prevalence of mastitis by breed.

| Breed               | No. of quarter examined | Noof affected | No of not affected | prevalence |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------|
| Indigenous zebu     | 485                     | 101           | 384                | 20.82%     |
| Jersey              | 281                     | 61            | 220                | 21.70%     |
| High grade holstien | 200                     | 50            | 150                | 25%        |
| Total               | 966                     | 212           | 754                | 21.94%     |

 $X^2$ = 1.43; P=0.49

The highest prevalence is seen in early lactation (1-120 days) in which out of 228 animal examined 100 (43.85%) were found positive and the lowest prevalence is seen in end lactation (<240 days) 23%.

Table 3. Prevalence of mastitis in different lactation stage.

| Stage of lactation    | No. of examined | No. of affected | No. of not affected | Total |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------|
| Beginning (1-120 days | 228             | 100 (43.85%)    | 128 (56.14%)        | 228   |
| Middle (120-240days   | 137             | 44 (32.25%)     | 93 (68.19%)         | 137   |
| End (<240 days)       | 21              | 5 (23.80%)      | 16 (76.19%)         | 21    |
| Total                 | 386             | 149             | 237                 | 386   |

 $X^2 = 7.03$ ; P = 0.000

The highest prevalence (64.51%) is observed at a parity number 6 and above and the lowest prevalence (26.08%.) observed at first parity number which is the prevalence raised with an increase in parity number (r= 0.8).

Table 4. Prevalence of mastitis in various parity groups.

| Parity number | No. of cowexamined | no. of mastitic animal | None mastitc animal | Prevalence % |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| 1             | 115                | 30                     | 85                  | 26.08%       |
| 2             | 93                 | 17                     | 76                  | 39.54%       |
| 3             | 75                 | 29                     | 46                  | 38.66%       |
| 4             | 54                 | 28                     | 26                  | 51.85%       |
| 5             | 18                 | 10                     | 8                   | 55.55%       |
| 6 and above   | 31                 | 20                     | 11                  | 64.51%       |

The prevalence of 41.66% was seen in animals with lesions and/or tick infestation on teat and /or udder skin as compared to animals without lesions and/or tick infestation, in which the prevalence was 25.88%.

Table 5. Prevalence of mastitis in relation to predisposing factors.

| Cow  | Infected animal | None-infected | Total |  |
|--|-----------------|---------------|-------|--|
| With lesion and /or tick on skin or udder                  | 90 (41.66%)     | 126 (58.33)   | 216   |  |
| Without lesion and tick infestation on skin /teat or udder | 44 (25.88%)     | 126 (74.11)   | 170   |  |
| Total  | 134             | 252           | 386   |  |

 $X^2 = 12.52$ ; P = 0.02

In the present study, the highest prevalence was seen in housed animals as compared to pastured cows.

Table 6. Prevalence of mastitis by management system.

| Management | Animals examined | No. of affected | None affected | Prevalence |  |
|------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|--|
| Pastured   | 216              | 50              | 166           | 23.14%     |  |

| Housed | 170 | 84  | 86  | 49.41% |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| Total  | 386 | 134 | 252 | 34.7%  |

 $X^2 = 35.42$ ; P = 0.000

# 3.5. Milk Bacteriology Results

Bacteriological examination was carried out on all CMT and clinically positive udder quarter samples to identify the causative organism involved in the disease. The organisms were identified based on colony morphology, gram stain, heomolysis and biochemical test for species identification. The differentiation of microorganisms was carried out according to [14].

Out of 134 positive animals, 52 were clinically infected while 82 were sub-clinically infected. From 134 positive animals only 111 is culturally positive.

Table 7. Prevalence of clinical and sub clinical mastitis.

| Infection status | Clinical mastitis | Sub clinical | Total |  |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------|--|
| Infected         | 52 (38.15%)       | 82 (61.1%)   | 134   |  |
| None-infected    | 126 (32.64)       | 126 (32.64%) | 252   |  |
| Total            | 178               | 208          | 386   |  |

Of all culturally positive animals, 46 were from clinical and 65 from subclinical cases.

Table 8. Staphylococcus identification.

| hemolysis | Catalase | Tube cougaluse | Manitol test | 0-F test | Frequency of isolation | Species of bacteria isolated |
|-----------|----------|----------------|--------------|----------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| +ve       | +ve      | +ve            | +ve          | F        | 54                     | S. aureous                   |
| -ve       | +ve      | +ve            | -ve          | F        | 2                      | S. hyicus                    |
| -ve       | +ve      | -ve            | -ve          | F        | 3                      | S. epidermides               |

 $+ ve=positive; -ve=negative; F=fermentation; CMT=Californian\ mastitis\ test\ O-F=oxidation\ fermentation\ test; S=staphylococcus$ 

Table 9. Streptococcus species identification and differentiation results.

| Hemolysis | Catalase | CAMP test | Hydrolysisof aesculin on Edward media | frequency of isolation | Species of streptococcus identified |
|-----------|----------|-----------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Alpha     | -ve      | +ve       | -ve                                   | 10                     | Strep. agalactiae                   |
| Beta      | -ve      | +ve       | -ve                                   | 6                      | Strep. agalactiae                   |
| Gamma     | -ve      | -ve       | +ve                                   | 7                      | Strep. dysagalactiae                |
| Beta      | -ve      | -ve       | +ve                                   | 4                      | Strep. dysagalactiae                |

<sup>-</sup>ve= negative+ve=positivestrep= streptococcus

Table 10. Genera of bacteria isolated from clinical and sub clinical mastitis.

| Cases        | Staphylococcus | Streptococcus | Coliform |  |
|--------------|----------------|---------------|----------|--|
| Clinical     | 15             | 7             | 7        |  |
| Sub-clinical | 25             | 12            | 8        |  |
| Total        | 40             | 19            | 15       |  |

Out of all clinical cases, 15 were caused by staphlococcus, 7 were caused by *Streptococcus*, and 7 by coliform species. Out of all subclinical cases, 25 were by *Staphylococcus*, 12 by *Streptococcus* and 8 by coliform species.

# 4. Discussion

The purpose of the research was to find out how common bovine mastitis is in the town of Woliata Soddo in southern Ethiopia. The overall frequency of the illness in cows was 34.7% and in quarters it was 21.94%. This result is in line with the overall claim made by [1], who states that the incidence rate in cows is 40% and in quarters 25% in most nations, regardless of the source. Results from [4] and [15] showing a prevalence of 45.8% in Sudan and 45.5% in Ethiopia, respectively, are in agreement with the infection rate in cows. Compared to the results of [4], which indicated a quarter infection rate of 38.8% in Sudan, this was lower. Factors including the environment, the agent, and the host may contribute to the variance in the general prevalence of mastitis. According to this research, an unclean milking process and ineffective management might lead to a higher infection rate. One possible explanation for the observed variation is that management styles change from one location to another. Zebu, jersey, and high grade Holstein cows had significantly different incidence rates (P<0.05). In contrast to Jersey-holstien crosses, those involving the Holstein Frisian or Hariana breeds were more likely to have subclinical mastitis, according to several writers [16]. In addition, researchers in Kombolcha, Ethiopia, discovered that the prevalence rate of zebu holstien crosses is much greater than that of the zebu breed [18]. The impact of milk output on mastitis incidence may account for this variance. Also, according to [1], high-yielding cows are more likely to be affected. The high milk output stress that may upset the animals' defense system and the ease with which injuries may be incurred in huge udders, which provide foci for the entry of viruses, might be the reasons for this. Due to the lack of interaction between the two breeds during milking, the local zebu, whose lower than that of Jersey prevalence rate was much and Holstein. were at danger

Relative to other breeds, there was a higher incidence of sanitary procedures, lesions, and tick infestations on the surface of the

Prevalence rates of sub-clinical mastitis were 61.19% and clinical mastitis was 38.80% in this research. The yearly incidence rate of 41.2% in England's dairy herds is consistent with the severity of clinical cases. According to studies conducted in Sudan [5] and Ghana [20], there is a 46% difference between sub-clinical and clinical mastitis, whereas in Ghana it is 20% and 41.67%, respectively. Mastitis prevalence was shown to be 43.85% during early lactation (1-120 days), substantially greater than 32.25% during mid-lactation (121-240 days) and 23.25% at the end of lactation, when the influence of lactation stage was evaluated (P< 0.05). Consistent with previous research, this data supports the high prevalence seen in early lactation sahiwal cows in India (reference

Multiple forms of physiological stress, including early breastfeeding, may lower immunity and lead to an upsurge in mammary gland infections, as stated in [22]. According to [23], acute mastitis is common during breastfeeding because neutrophils in the mammary glands have a delayed diapedesis, which reduces their resistance. The increased prevalence rate seen in early lactation in this research may be explained by this fact. Animals without this lesion and/or tick infestation on udderand/or teat skin had a prevalence rate of 41.66% compared to animals without these variables at 25.88%. This was done in order to determine the effects of these factors on the prevalence of mastitis. This result was in line with previous reports from [18], [20], [24], and [3]. Staphylococci and, to a lesser degree, streptococcus colonized the teat lesions in this population of animals, which may explain the

Mastitis prevalence was found to be 49.41% in housed cows and 23.14% in pastured cows as a result of the management approach. Because the organisms may be easily transmitted from infected to healthy animals, our results are in agreement with those of [25], who also discovered a much higher incidence rate in housed animals. After conducting a thorough microbiological examination of the sample, it was found that out of the 124 organisms identified, staphylococcus aurous constituted 43.54% of the total.

This finding was consistent with those of [6], [26], all of whom found *Staphylococcus aureus* as predominant isolates from bovine mastitis.

The predominance of *Staphylococcus aurous* could attribute to the wide ecological distribution of the organism on intra mammary and skin of the udder and frequent colonization of the eroded and injured skin on the teat and/or udder of the cows.

[1] The bacterium is able to thrive in the udder and may cause a mild illness that lasts for a long time. It can then spread to healthy animals via milk, which happens mostly when milking is not done properly. Possible causes of the high isolation rate include unsanitary living quarters, insufficient dry treatment, insufficient milking teat dipping, and a low rate of culling for animals who suffer from mastitis. The isolation rate for Staphylococcus epidermidis was 2.41% and for Staphylococcus hyicus it was 1.61%. The researchers in this investigation identified S. epidermides and S. hyicus as cougalase negative Staphylococcus.

A recovery rate of 16.12% for Escherchia coli was observed; this finding is in agreement with [27], which reported an isolation rate of 11.5%; however, Demelash's reported rate was 3.14%, and Klebsiella aerogenes occurred at a rate of 3.23%. The most common source of these coliform organisms in dairy cow environments is manure [3]. According to popular belief, these viruses may live and reproduce in non-mammary areas more effectively than other prevalent mastitis infections. Additionally, efficient approach one control has been shown to be in laboratory Isolation rates of Streptococcus agalactiae were 13%, 10%, and 13.5 percent, respectively, in previous studies [28], [29], and [27]. Although 38% and 37% were lower than the results in [30], they were still below the average. Possible causes for the relatively high isolate of this organism in this research include improper hygiene during milking, not dipping the teats after each milking, not treating animals who were clinically infected, not using dry period therapy, and not culling cows that didn't react to dry period therapy. Among the isolates, 8.87% were Streptococcus dysagalactiae and 4% were Streptococcusuberis.

Because most of the illnesses were not severe enough to warrant treatment, many of them spread to other cows in the herd and eventually became clinical. While farmers and herd managers may be aware of the symptoms of mastitis, they may not realize how common the illness is or how much money it costs. Antibiotics can help with mastitis control in dairy cows, but they aren't a panacea. What's more, overusing antibiotics like penicillin and streptomycin could lead to the rise of bacteria that are resistant to both drugs. Hence, according on these comments, the following suggestions are made: When it comes to milking, dairy farms should adhere to strict sanitary procedures; Chronically sick cows, whether they have blind quarters or not, should be slaughtered to eliminate a possible vector for disease.

be eradicated; additional research should be conducted, focusing on the factors that put farmers at risk of financial losses due to the disease, in order to raise awareness among farmers about the disease and its impact on dairy production; and short-term training, extension workers, and model farmers should be employed to educate farmers about the disease. Author Contribution

JSh: conception of the research idea, designing data collection, interpretation of the results and drafting the manuscript. IT: data collection and drafting the manuscript. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# References

[1] In Herd Health, Food, Animal Production, and Medicine, edited by W. B. Saonders and published in Philadelphia by Wonders Campany in 1994, the authors Radosetis, Leslie, and Fetrow discuss methods for controlling mastitis in dairy herds. The prevalence of streptococci mastitis on a Tanzanian dairy farm (Schalm, FL, and Radiostitis, O. M., 2022). African Bulletin of Animal Health and Production, Vol. 36, No. 2, 1994, pp. 196–129. the thirdBovine mastitis as described by Schalm, O., Carroll, E. S., and Jain, N. C. Lea and Fiebiger, 1971: 1.302, Philadelphia: USA: Lea and Fiebiger.

shad. lad, Abdelrahim, A. I., Shommerin, A. I., and Suliman, H. B. Research in production and veterinary care for tropical nations, volume 42, issue 4, pages 512-514, published in 1989, examined the prevalence of mastitis imported friesioan Sudan. in cows [5] Tizard, I.: Immunity in the mammary gland. In: Veterinary Immunology, Fourth Edition, 244-245 Saunders Compony, 1992. (Mohammed, I. E.) In the proceedings of the second scientific conference, Mohammed, G. E., and EL. DWNI OAO conducted a research on the incidence and etiology of bovine mastitis in Sudan. December 5-7, 1993; 2: 326-336; Egyptian Society Cattle Disease. The prevalence of streptococcal mastitis on Tanzanian dairy farms (Machang, U. R. S. and Muyungi, L., 2007). African Journal of Animal Health and Production, Vol. 36, No. 2, 1988, pp. 190-129. Research on mastitis in cattle (Tsegaye, H. M., 2008). Articles 41–44 published in 1972 by the College of Agriculture Addis University in Haremaya, Ethiopia. at Ababa

[9] Mohammed, A.: Bacterial pathogens causing mastitis in Ethiopian cattle in Wondogenet Journal of Veterinary Medicine, 1996, 379-384. [10] (Goshu, M., Tesfaye, state farm" Kasahun, D., 1985). & Mastitis (Mekonnen) by Clarencey Franser and M. Koeken. The Merck & Company, Inc., Incrahway, New USA: 686-692. 1999. The Merck Veterinary Manual, Seventh Edition. Jersey,

CSA, basic statistics on agricultural resources, opportunities for growth, and limitations [12]. Addis Abeba: Security Administration (WZADAD) in 2001, [13] Thrusfield H: Blackwell Science, Third Edition, Veterinary Epidemiology, 237–240 (1995). The protective effect of milk fractions, serum, and divalent cations against staphylococcal aurous toxin injury on cow mammary epithelial cells [14] Cifrina, E., Alber, J. G., and Warren, W. M. Vol. 3, Issue 308 of the iournal of veterinary research, The bacterial origin of mastitis in Ethiopian cattle in Wondogenet [15] Mangistu, A. Journal of Veterinary Medicine; 1996: 379-384. Smith, Cmary, and Sherman, M. David. (2008). Goat medicine: the mammary gland and milk production. 465-487 in Lea Febiger's 1994 Philadelphia Pages and Journal of Dairy Science, Netherlands, 1990, 3463-3471, by Schukken, Y. H., Grommers, F. J., Geer, D-vande., Erb, H. N., Brand, a, and van-de, Geer. Risk factors for clinical mastitis in herds with a low bulk somatic Summer mastitis: a review of the causes, symptoms, preventative strategies, and treatments (Sol. J., 2018): Treatment for mastitis. Solving the problem, third British mastitis conference proceedings Ciba-giegy 1990: agrochemicals; United Kingdom; 28–35. [19]Bagadis, H. O.: Three regions of Sudan and the cause of bovine mastitis Publishing House, Khartoum, 1978: In [20], Demalash, B. A.: Research on the prevalence of mastitis in organic zebu and jersey cattle in Woliatasoddo and its indigenous communities. PhD dissertation submitted to the University of Addis Abeba in

The authors of the article "Comparative incidence of mastitis in relation to certain factors in cattle and buffaloes" (published in 1995: 65; 1, 12-14 in the Indian Journal of Animal Science) are Prem Chand, G. D. Behar, Chakaravarty, K. Chand. Research on the prevalence of bovine mastitis in several cooperative dairy farms in the Chilalo Awraja area of the Arsi region [22] Hamman Dr. V.M. thesis, Addis Abeba University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, 1987. Environmental mastitis: a cause, prevalence, and preventive strategy (Sympostium) in cows: a review of the literature (Journal of Dairy Science, 1985, 68: 5-8, 1531-1553) by Smith, K. L., Todhunter, D. A., and Shoenberger, P. DVM thesis, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Addis Abeba University, 1996 Tarekegn, Bovine mastitis in indigenous Arsi breed and Arsi Holstein crosses: prevalence. [25] In Their Anatomy of the Mammary Gland: Anatomy and Physiology of the Fifth Edition (Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, 1992: 466-473), Frandos and Spurgeon provide the following information. [26] In Low Southern Western Veterinarian, December 1960, 13: 114-118, Fred, C. N. discusses chloranphinicol staphylococcal as a therapy for [27] Tiruneh, Z.: A DVM thesis completed in 1996 at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine at Addis Abeba frequency subclinical mastitis examined the of in Asella dairy [28] inOdongo, M. O., and Ambani AIA: 1989, Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory in Kebete, Kenya, Isolated Microorganisms Bovine Milk from [29]Gezahegn, S.: Subclinical mastitis in Freisian-indigenous zebu crosses in Bahirdar: prevalence and cause. DVM thesis. Graduated from the University of Addis Abeba's veterinary medical program in 1996. [30]In a 1990 article published in the Journal of the South African Association, Bryson and Thomson discussed the methods used in the lab and in the field to reduce clinical mastitis in dairy cows in the Bulawayo area.